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ABSTRACT. Cerebrovascular disease and AD pathology co-exist in most dementia cases, and microinfarcts (MIs), particularly if cortical and multiple, play an additive and independent role in AD cognitive impairment. The main cause of cortical MIs is chronic cerebral hypoperfusion but occlusive vascular diseases, embolism and blood-brain barrier disruptions, isolated or combined, may also play a role. The precise mechanisms by which MIs cause cognitive impairment are not well known, but one plausible explanation is that they are widespread and accompanied by diffuse hypoperfusion, hypoxia, oxidative stress and inflammation, particularly in the watershed areas of the tertiary association cortex, and hence could damage cognition networks and explain many of AD’s cognitive and behavioral disturbances. Therefore, it is crucial to control vascular risk factors and avoid uncontrolled use of the antihypertensives, neuroleptics and other sedative drugs frequently prescribed to AD patients.

Key words: Alzheimer’s disease, vascular cognitive impairment, dementia, microinfarcts, cerebral amyloid angiopathy, neurofunctional networks.

INTRODUCTION

Cerebrovascular disease (CVD) is the second most common cause of cognitive impairment and dementia in the elderly, after Alzheimer’s disease. CVD can cause a broad spectrum of cognitive, mental-behavioral and functional impairment ranging from very mild forms to severe dementia, thus constituting a “vascular cognitive impairment (VCI) - vascular dementia (VaD)” spectrum.

According to Di Legge & Hachinski, the concept of VCI refers to any cognitive impairment caused or associated with vascular risk factors, and also includes the link between CVD and Alzheimer’s disease (AD). VCI and AD have a mixed etiology and share common risk factors for cognitive impairment, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, atherosclerotic disease, inflammation, and atrial fibrillation, hence the possibility to prevent both diseases. As highlighted by Di Legge & Hachinski, cognitive impairment related to
CVD and AD share common elements: [1] stroke may precede, trigger, co-exist, or exacerbate AD-type cognitive impairment; and [2] AD-associated cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) impairs blood vessel function and can cause brain ischemia and cognitive impairment independent of stroke (see also Greenberg et al.).

As yet there is no universally accepted diagnostic criteria for VCI, especially because studies available have used different neuropsychological test batteries, neuroimaging criteria, and definitions of cognitive impairment. In order to tackle these methodological heterogeneities and pave the way for a consensus diagnosis, the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) and the Canadian Stroke Network (CSN) have recommended harmonization criteria concerning VCI clinical features, neuropsychology, neuroimaging, neuropathological causes, experimental models, genetics, biomarkers, and clinical trials.

The most common cause of VCI is ischemia or infarct in the territories of small caliber arteries and arterioles (cerebral arteriolar sclerosis or small-vessel disease), with isolated lacunar infarcts and diffuse, ischemic white matter lesions (leukoaraiosis) in periventricular and deep subcortical white matter. Other common neuropathological causes are: [1] large vessel disease with single, strategic (e.g., thalamic) or multiple, corticostriatal infarcts (multi-infarct dementia); [2] severe hypoperfusion state, with maximal damage in hippocampal CA1 neurons, cortical watershed areas and deep white matter; [3] hereditary vasculopathy (e.g., cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy, CADASIL); and [4] CAA with haemorrhage or microbleeds. Cortical microinfarcts also contribute to the cognitive decline in individuals at high risk for Alzheimer’s dementia.

In experimental models of VCI in rodents and primates, neuropathological changes comprise microinfarcts, diffuse white matter lesions, hippocampal neuronal loss, focal ischemic lesions and micro-haemorrhages, with subsequent deficits mostly in working and reference memory, as shown in a systematic review of 107 studies by Jiwa et al. These models consist of brief global ischemic insults or chronic global hypoperfusion; embolic lesions; chronic hypertension; strategic or multiple ischemic lesions, and generalised vasculopathies (e.g., in transgenic mice models of CAA and CADASIL).

Thus, as yet we have an incomplete understanding of the relationships between the pathophysiology and neuropsychological features of VCI and between vascular disease and Alzheimer’s neurodegenerative brain changes. In this regard, the aim of this article was to review the literature focusing on the relationship between microinfarcts (MIs) and Alzheimer’s dementia, given the additive and independent role they play in AD cognitive impairment, even in the 15% of cases without macroinfarcts, lacunes, atherosclerosis, or CAA. “Focal” ictal vascular syndromes caused by macroinfarcts or lacunes, such as aphasia, apraxia, and agnosia, will not be dealt with, since there are controversies regarding their inclusion or not in the concept of VCI. Publications retrieved from electronic databases (Medline, Pubmed), scientific articles, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses were surveyed. It is proposed that microinfarcts could damage cognition networks and explain most of AD neuropsychological features, due to the widespread distribution and association of MIs with diffuse hypoperfusion, hypoxia, oxidative stress and inflammation, particularly in the watershed areas of the tertiary association cortex.

MICROINFARCTS, COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT AND ALZHEIMER’S DEMENTIA

Microinfarcts and their physiopathology. In a systematic review of neuropathological studies involving 10,515 people, Brundel et al. found that MIs are common in patients with vascular dementia (weighted average 62%), Alzheimer’s disease (43%), demented patients with both Alzheimer-type and cerebrovascular pathology (33%), and even in nondemented older individuals (24%). Cortical MIs and, to a lesser degree, periventricular demyelination (but not subcortical MIs) are associated with cognitive decline, particularly in individuals at high risk for dementia.

Microinfarcts (MIs) are well-delimited microscopic regions of cellular death or tissue necrosis, sometimes with a central fluid-filled cavity, and a size (diameter of the maximum extent) varying from 0.1 to 2.9 mm. Most microinfarcts have a size (0.2-1.0 mm) below the lower limit of spatial resolution for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at conventional field strengths (1.5-3.0 Tesla), but can be detected by using ultrahigh-field (7 Tesla) MRI.

MIs are found mainly in watershed areas, in the cortical borderzones between the territories of anterior and middle, and posterior and middle cerebral arteries (frontal and parieto-occipital regions, respectively), and are more numerous in the parietal-occipital region.

In AD, the most important risk factor for the genesis of watershed cortical MIs is cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), which is characterized by deposition of β-amyloid (especially the soluble subspecies βA40) in the media and adventitia of small arteries and capillaries of the leptomeninges and cerebral cortex, with subsequent capil-
lary occlusion and decreased blood flow.\textsuperscript{21,22} CAA-associated microbleeds are also frequently found and could further contribute to impairment of cognitive function, even in elderly individuals without dementia.\textsuperscript{23,24} The main mechanism for cortical MIs is chronic cerebral hypoperfusion with hypoxia, oxidative stress, and inflammation, but occlusive vascular diseases (e.g., atherosclerosis, thromboangiitis obliterans), embolism, and blood-brain barrier disruptions, isolated or combined, may also play a role.\textsuperscript{18,25} The selective distribution of cortical MIs in watershed cortical areas, even in the 15\% of AD cases without atherosclerosis and CAA, indicates that their main cause is cerebral hypoperfusion (usually due to arterial hypotension), which may be exacerbated by the use of antihypertensives, neuroleptics and other sedative drugs frequently prescribed to AD patients.\textsuperscript{14,25}

**Relationship between microinfarcts and cognitive impairment.** As shown by several studies, the burden and location of macro- and microinfarcts are significantly associated with cognitive decline.\textsuperscript{16,17,26} In a prospective community-based autopsy study, Arvanitakis et al.\textsuperscript{17} used neuropsychological tests to examine the influence of quantity and location of MIs on five cognitive systems. They found that MIs were associated with lower levels of semantic memory and perceptual speed, with a lesser association with episodic memory, and no relationship with working memory or visuospatial abilities. Only in the analyses of location were cortical MIs associated with visuospatial abilities. Of note, subcortical MIs were not associated with any of the cognitive systems.

Autopsy studies show that MIs have an additive or synergistic effect in combination with AD pathology and, in spite of being small and “silent”, they contribute to cognitive impairment and dementia, particularly if multiple and cortical.\textsuperscript{17} Although MIs are highly frequent in brains of people without dementia, their presence in the cerebral cortex is an independent predictor of worse cognitive function and dementia even in patients with low levels of neurofibrillary tangles (Braak stage < III) and without microinfarcts or lacunes.\textsuperscript{18,27-29} Interestingly, in multiple sclerosis, another degenerative-inflammatory disease as yet considered to be mostly subcortical, highly frequent small cortical lesions constitute the main cause of cognitive decline and social-occupational dysfunction.\textsuperscript{30,32}

The precise mechanisms by which MIs cause cognitive impairment are not well known. As one plausible explanation, we propose that, given their widespread distribution and association with diffuse hypoperfusion, hypoxia, oxidative stress and inflammation, particularly in the watershed areas of the tertiary association cortex, they could damage cognition networks.

Cognitive functions such as attention, language, visuospatial abilities and memory are complex systems or networks comprising various basic mental operations or processes organized in a dynamic assembly of interconnected brain regions, each region making its specific contribution to the functioning of the system as a whole.\textsuperscript{32-35} According to this concept, every mental act (e.g., naming the picture of an object, solving a problem) is carried out by a dynamic neurofunctional network whose psychological and cerebral components change from moment to moment insofar as each task or operation switches one for another, with each mental act requiring a different ensemble of cognitive processes suitable for achieving the objective of the task. Within such a network, there are a few crucial nodes, so-called convergence-divergence zones\textsuperscript{36} or connector hubs,\textsuperscript{37} which: [1] integrate the functions of distant microcircuits by means of simultaneous synthesis; [2] contain the neural substratum (basic operations) of different complex mental acts; and [3] can thus belong to various partly overlapped neuronal networks.\textsuperscript{35}

This parallel distributed processing of cognitive functions make them more vulnerable to dysfunction by widespread and diffuse lesions. Dysfunction of any connector hub due to stroke or neurodegenerative pathological process, as in AD, may cause “focal” neuropsychological syndromes or even dementia, as happens in cases with strategic infarct in the thalamus (thalamic dementia) or in the left inferior parietal region (angular gyrus syndrome simulating Alzheimer’s dementia). Indeed, AD and other neurodegenerative diseases (behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia, semantic dementia, progressive nonfluent aphasia, and corticobasal syndrome) have been thought to target specific neuronal networks,\textsuperscript{38} and a breakdown of functional connectivity in the default mode network typically occurs even in the early phase of AD.\textsuperscript{39,40}

As a corollary of the network concept, different components of the same complex mental act or function can be impaired by lesions in different regions or in their interconnecting pathways. For example, one of the most common linguistic symptoms in AD and in left hemisphere stroke – anoma or word finding difficulties, may result from damage to any of a variety of brain regions (temporal, parietal, frontal, or thalamic). An apparently simple mental act such as naming the picture of a horse would require: [1] processes for abstracting the features that allow recognition of the object (its visual perception) from the visual stimulus; [2] access to the mean-
Inferior lesions, in the prefrontal medial-orbital region of the brain, warn that nonfluency can independently contribute to cognitive impairment and dementia.43

Because of their systemic organization, cognition and behavior are highly vulnerable to multifocal lesions. Thus, we speculate that the widespread and diffuse damage caused by MIs in the watershed areas (WAs) of the middle cerebral artery’s territory could, at least in part, produce many of AD’s cognitive and behavioral disturbances, although it cannot explain the impairment of episodic memory associated to entorhinal-hippocampal dysfunction, typical of the disease. For this dysfunction, a more plausible explanation proposed by Kapogiannis & Mattson44 is that hippocampal-entorhinal neurons, particularly the hippocampal pyramidal cells, have the highest energy requirements of any neurons in the brain and are therefore more vulnerable when metabolic needs are not met, for example, during high levels of excitation under conditions of age-related and disease-related mitochondrial impairment and oxidative stress. As suggested by these authors, AD-related early accumulation of βA oligomers in synapses might result in tau hyperphosphorylation and aggregation in neurons of entorhinal cortex (layers II-III, and IV) and subiculum, with neuronal death in these structures and secondary hypometabolism and atrophy in other interconnected transmodal cortices, mostly in the posterior medial cortex (posterior cingulate cortex and precuneus), which is a crucial connector hub for episodic retrieval and semantic processing in the default functional (resting) state of the brain. Hence, synaptic dysfunction mediates transneuronal spread of AD, which targets its specific neural networks.28,44

Cognitive-behavioral syndromes related to MI distribution in cortical WAs

Anterior WAs – MIs in anterior WAs (borderzones of middle and anterior cerebral artery territories), particularly in the middle-superior frontal gyrus region near the prefrontal-premotor border, could cause a disexecutive syndrome, especially for planning and carrying out complex tasks, impairment of working memory, and problem-solving difficulties; and in predominantly left-sided lesions, signs of transcortical motor aphasia, with poor and nonfluent, frequently echolalic, verbal output. In AD, the aphasic syndrome is almost always fluent, and more often an anomic (semantic) or transcortical sensory aphasia, probably due to the predominance of MIs in the posterior WA areas. Mesulam45 warns that nonfluent aphasias are extremely rare in AD and should raise the possibility of an alternative diagnosis (see also Price et al.).46 Inferior lesions, in the prefrontal medial-orbital border, could contribute to loss of initiative (apathy), generalized disinhibition with loss of insight, self-criticism and self-control, failures in tests of theory of mind and empathy, disorder of emotion regulation, errors of social-moral judgement, and personality changes.

Posterior WAs – In posterior WAs (borderzones of middle-posterior and middle-anterior cerebral arteries), the inferior (occipital-temporal) lesions, especially to the left side, could cause a visual-verbal disconnection syndrome, with apperceptive visual agnosia or optic aphasia (visual anoma), characterized by the inability to recognize or name seen objects or their pictures, yet with normal recognition or naming of the same objects when they are touched or verbally described.

Superior-middle (occipital-parietal) lesions, near the posterior-inferior parietal region, could explain many of AD’s visuospatial disorders, such as simultanagnosia, right-left and topographical disorientation, eye-hand discoordination, with difficulty in reaching a target under visual guidance (optic ataxia), and even a full Balint’s syndrome; and in predominantly left-sided lesions, an ideomotor, ideational, and visuoconstructive apraxia, as well as acalculia and aphasia. The aphasia in AD is characteristically fluent, of the anomic type (Luria’s semantic aphasia) in mild cases, or transcortical sensory (more rarely Wernicke-like) aphasia in more advanced cases, with poor comprehension, paraphasic spontaneous speech, increased use of empty words, and relatively preserved syntax and phonology, showing similar difficulties in reading and writing.47-48 All these aphasic features can be explained by dysfunction in or near the posterior WAs of the language dominant hemisphere, and indicate relative intactness of the more central, perisylvian territory of the middle cerebral artery.

Inferior WAs – MIs in the inferior WA (borderzones of middle and posterior cerebral arteries), mainly in the
left inferolateral temporal lobes, may impair semantic memory, especially in naming and categorization tasks. Alzheimer’s disease is the most common clinical disorder disrupting semantic memory, with more severe cases being unable to name an item when it is described and also unable to describe an item when they are given its name. The naming difficulty is partially segregated in the lateral temporal lobe depending on the conceptual category (e.g., person, animal, tool) to which the entity belongs, and it is more difficult to name basic level (e.g., house, dog) and unique entities (e.g., White House, rocking chair), which require finer-grained discrimination and access to more information than needed to name higher level entities (e.g., animal, fruit). 

**Conclusion.** CVD and AD-pathology co-exist in most dementia cases. In this regard, not only microinfarcts, but also MIs play an additive, synergistic and independent role in AD cognitive impairment, even in the 15% of cases without atherosclerosis or cerebral amyloid angiopathy. The precise mechanisms by which MIs cause cognitive impairment are not well known, but one plausible explanation is that they are widespread and accompanied by diffuse hypoperfusion, hypoxia, oxidative stress and inflammation, particularly in the watershed areas of the tertiary association cortex, and hence could damage cognition networks thereby explaining many of AD’s cognitive and behavioral disturbances. Since CVD and AD are often associated, it is crucial to control vascular risk factors and avoid uncontrolled use of the antihypertensives, neuroleptics and other sedative drugs frequently prescribed to AD patients.
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